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Abstract

Reinforced concrete (RC) structures have their own weight, earthquake, wind, dead loads, live loads, creep, etc. throughout their service life. They are exposed to internal and external load effects. In order to meet the horizontal forces such as earthquake and wind from these loads affecting the structure, shear wall structures with high lateral rigidity are needed. Therefore, shear walls are one of the most important structural elements that can resist earthquake forces due to their high lateral rigidity and load bearing capacities. Most of the buildings today are designed according to the old regulations. Therefore, the shear wall was sized and reinforced according to these old regulations. However, to date, standards have been renewed in certain periods. Despite this, shear walls designed according to the old regulations continue to resist seismic forces. In this study, the design and behavioral differences of the reinforced concrete shear walls between the Turkish Regulation that came into force in 1999, and the old regulation were compared. In addition, RC shear walls were evaluated according to ACI-318-19 and EuroCode-2 regulations.
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1. Introduction

In parallel with the developments in other disciplines, progress is being made in concrete technology day by day. But reinforced concrete is still a mystery as a result of the studies carried out for hundreds of years. Although various scientist's experiments with reinforced concrete elements under different load combinations, developments in building and building material trigger academic studies towards new horizons. However, it continues to be widespread, especially in our country for reasons such as the cheapness of the concrete price and its ability to be shaped. Reinforced concrete shear walls are the most suitable and inexpensive solution that can be taken against earthquake experienced in buildings to be designed both in our country and worldwide. Earthquakes experienced in the past years have shown that shear walled structures have shown more resistance to severe earthquakes.

Technology developing over time, job opportunities, social-economic, etc. For reasons, people's desire to migrate from rural areas to cities has increased. As a result, the increasing population of the city has made the construction of multi-story buildings a must [1]. With the invention of the right elevators in the late 19th century, the interest in tall buildings increased. Today, high-rise buildings are the symbols of the cities in which they are located and even become one of the factors showing the development levels of the countries. Besides, these tall buildings also allow for high-density urban settlements, especially in cities with large populations. With each passing day, along with the developing technological possibilities, construction technologies are developing, and they allow more reliable, more detailed design methods. With each passing day, along with the developing technological possibilities, construction technologies are developing, and they allow more reliable, more detailed design methods. As a result, higher and more delicate structures appear. The desire to make the structures higher and more delicate also brings about a more sensitive situation against the horizontal load. In carrier systems, relative floor displacement increases with the number of floors. As a result, it has become almost mandatory to use shear walls in high-rise buildings to keep relative floor displacement within the limits set by regulations and to reduce relative floor displacement that may occur with torsional irregularities. In fact, as a result of the researches carried out at the end of the destructive earthquakes, it was observed that the structures with reinforced concrete shears did not migrate or received slight damage. Reinforced concrete shears also prevent non-structural damage as well as prevent the building from collapsing [2-4]. Each member counters the horizontal forces acting on the carrier system at the rate of stiffness. Since the horizontal stiffness of the shear walls are more than other structural elements, they meet a large part of the horizontal forces acting on the structure. In fact, according to the studies carried out, the infill walls, which crack and take permanent shape change by taking the first effects of the earthquake load, play a role in damping the first effects of the earthquake. Thus, the earthquake force affecting other carrier elements is reduced [5].

The purpose of this study is to show engineers or researchers the criteria of RC shear walls that change according to the old regulation in the new Turkish regulation. In addition, ACI318-19 [6] and EuroCode-2 [7] regulations were compared with the Turkish Regulations [8].

2. The behavior of Reinforced Concrete Shear Walls

The shear strength of RC shear walls consists of contributions of horizontal reinforcement and the concrete. In beams under repeated loads, friction in the cracks and leverage effect of the reinforcement disappeared. However, the vertical and horizontal reinforcement webs in the shears prevent the cracks from expanding. Thus, friction and leverage effect in concrete continuous. Therefore, even when the shear wall reaches its bearing power, it contributes to the concrete shear strength. The shear strength of the shear should be greater than the bending strength. Because ductile behavior is possible by ensuring that the bending fracture occurs before the shear fracture.
The vast majority of researchers [9, 10] agree that vertical reinforcements have a greater effect than transverse reinforcements on shear strength in squat shear walls with an H/L ratio equal to or less than 0.5. Some researchers stated that the effects of transverse and longitudinal reinforcements are inconsistent when the pitch H/L ratio is around 1.0, and vertical reinforcements contribute more to crack distribution, energy absorption, and post-peak behavior than peak shear strength [12]. On the other hand, if H/L > 2.0, the contribution of transverse reinforcement is known in the literature.

Although there are many equations in the literature and specifications for determining the shear strength of the shear walls, the equations for the calculation of the displacement capacities are limited. While brittle failure occurs under the shear effect in squat shear walls, flexural collapses in delicate (thin) shear walls are less affected by shear deformations since they exhibit a more ductile behavior. Some researchers have proposed different equations for calculating the displacement capacities of shear walls with the calibration of past experimental studies [12, 13]. Although the studies on squat shear walls in previous years have focused on the displacement capacities of the shear walls, a better account estimate is needed today. In the load-displacement behavior of squat shear walls, a precise poured point cannot be observed since it is shear controlled. Only a significant stiffness reduction in peak strength, low ductility is encountered. Hidalgo et al. (2000)[12] developed a hysteretic model for the analysis of lateral load-displacement behavior for squat shear walls. The researchers developed the model in the shape of shear failure, peak strength and ultimate displacement from the experimental results.

![Figure 1. Curve model with three arms](image1)

They revealed that for critical, peak and ultimate displacements, the calculation would be calculated based on M/VLw ratios. Sanchez (2010) [13], developed a displacement capacity model, similar to Carillo and Hidalgo. However, it has been developed with a first stiffness reduction due to flexural crack and a second stiffness reduction due to diffuse diagonal cracking.

![Figure 2. Curve model proposed by Sanchez](image2)

Shear walls, whose ratio of height to width is more than 2, show console beam behavior. Floors serve as a support for these types of shear walls on each floor, which allows these shear walls to be delicately designed. Due to the bending behavior, it is easier for the shear walls to form plastic hinges in the base areas and display ductile behavior. The ratio of their height to their width is described as squat shear walls for shears smaller than 2. Squat shear walls, on the other hand, exhibit truss analogy behavior. In other words, they meet horizontal loads with tension and pressure elements. Since the squat shear walls have a small H/L ratio, horizontal loads cause shear forces. In cases where these shear forces are critical, it can be difficult to achieve ductility in squat shear walls. Since the horizontal loads (earthquake, wind, etc.) cause shear forces on the squat shear walls, this shear force creates high moments due to the geometry of the shear. As a result of previous studies, it has been stated that earthquake forces cause diagonal shear cracks in squat shear walls.

The most important parameters affecting the behavior in shear walls are the ratio of shear height to shear length, axial load level, horizontal reinforcement ratio, longitudinal reinforcement ratio, effective shear length. In the literature, these parameters affecting the behavior of shear walls have been examined experimentally, numerically and analytically by exposing the shear walls to cyclic loading [1, 14-16]. Some of these studies [17, 18] have simulated earthquake forces through the shaking table on the shear walls. However, the shear walls, which have been the focus of researchers since the 1960s, have been cyclic loading in a large part. Considering both building symmetry and loading conditions, shear walls can also be exposed to torsional moment. Few studies in the literature examine the torsional behavior of shear walls. Researchers have focused more on the effects of torsional behavior on shear members in the past years[19-22]. One of the studies involving the torsional behavior of shear walls is the study of Peng (2011) [23] investigating the failure mechanisms of the shear walls only when the torsional moment and torsional moment act together with other loads (bending, axial load).

However, there are studies in the literature with hybrid shear walls where reinforced concrete and steel are used together [18, 24-26]. In these studies, the behavior of hybrid shear walls under cyclic loading was investigated. However, the hybrid concept mentioned here is the use of concrete and structural steel together.

3. Evaluation of RC Shear Walls According to Related Standards

3.1. Comparison of RC Shear Walls According to 2019 Turkish Regulation and Old Regulation

In this section, the new earthquake regulations that entered into force on 01.01.2019, and the changes made in reinforced concrete shear walls in the earthquake regulation to be repealed were compared.

In the new earthquake regulation that entered into force on 01.01.2019, shear walls are defined as “Shears, vertical bearing system elements with a ratio of at least six to the thickness of the wall in the plan.” The striking point here is that this rate was changed to six under the new earthquake regulation that came into force on 01.01.2019. With the enforcement of the new earthquake regulation, the seven aspect ratio was reduced to six.

Another cross-section condition that the shear walls must meet is the maximum force that will be applied to the net cross-section area remaining after the shear wall has been removed. In experimental studies carried out on RC shear walls, axial load force has been reported to be highly effective in shear behavior [27-31]. It has been stated that increasing the axial load level significantly increases shear strength. However, the axial load level also significantly affects the parameters that affect the behavior, such as crack distribution and drift ratio. However, in some studies in the literature, the axial load can be neglected in experimental studies since the axial load increases the strength of the shear wall. ACI-31814 mentioned in the comment’s section for the users that the axial load can be neglected in laboratory conditions since the shear capacity of the shear walls increases the shear capacity of the axial load in the pressure direction. Taking into account the coefficients of mobile loads defined in the TS498 for moving loads, it is stated that G and Q vertical loads and the earthquake effect E will be the largest axial pressure forces calculated under G + Q + E. It must meet the A_{N_{Axial}} (0.35\sigma_{u}) condition. The coefficient value was changed from 0.25 in the old regulation to 0.35 in the new regulation. It is stated that RC shear walls can carry a more axial load with this change. It is
accepted that the axial load will affect the pressure direction. However, Ji et al. (2018) [32] reported that shear walls may also be exposed to axial load in the tension direction. It is stated that in the calculation of $A_c$ and $N_d$ values in coupling shear walls, it is necessary to take into account the entire hollow shear section (total of shear parts).

Except for some special cases, the thickness of the shears such as U, L and T in the body area of the shears shall not be less than 1/20 of the floor height and not less than 200 mm in the regulation that is repealed. It has been changed.

However, in the regulation to be repealed regarding the shear thickness, “For shears whose floor height is greater than 6 m and, which are at least 1/5 of the floor height, the shear thickness in the lateral direction between the points of the horizontal length between the points where it is held in the lateral direction may be less than 1/20 of the floor height. However, this thickness cannot be less than 300 mm.” The condition has been removed. In the new regulation, these statement states, “The thickness of the rectangular shear or shear arm will not be less than 1/30 of the length of the shear or the shear arm that is not kept in the lateral direction in the plan. If the shear arm is held in both sides with shear in the lateral direction, the thickness of the shear arm will not be less than 1/20 of the floor height and 250 mm.” Has been changed to.

Another change in the new regulation was made in the section of the body reinforcement of RC shear walls. It has been stated that horizontal reinforcements and/or crossover reinforcements used as winding reinforcements must be hooked to the longitudinal reinforcement in the shear end region by 135 degrees. There is no 135-degree hook condition in the old regulation. In addition, there is an expression that horizontal reinforcements can be made at 90 degrees miter at the shear end areas. The 90-degree condition does not exist in the old regulation. It is added that the distance between the ends or squares of the horizontal body reinforcements, and the outer edge of the shear should not be greater than 150 mm, and in cases where an overlapped joint should be made on the horizontal body reinforcements in the shear body, the overlapped joints can be made as a surprise along the length of the shear body. The overlap length should not be less than 1.5 lb. 90-degree hooks will be formed at the ends of the horizontal body reinforcements. If hooks are not used at the ends of the horizontal body rebar, this rebar will be arranged to remain inside the longitudinal body rebar, there will be at least six longitudinal body rebar along the overlap joint, and the horizontal distance between the longitudinal body rebar in the overlap joint area will not exceed 200 mm.

In the Turkish Regulation, in cases where the height of the RC shear wall in the plan $H/L$ is greater than 2, there is a condition to make the boundary element. In the new regulation, new reinforcement arrangements have been added in the shapes of the shear end zones (Figures 3 and 4).

In the new regulation, the friction shear force capacity of the RC shear wall has been changed. In the old regulation, the conditions to be fulfilled with Equation 1 were changed to Equations 2 and 3.

\[ V_e \leq \min \left[ 0.2 f_{cd} A_c, (3.3 + 0.08 f_{cd}) A_p, 10 A_e \right] \quad \text{Equ.1} \]
\[ V_e \leq f_{cd} A_c + \mu A_f f_{cd} \quad \text{Equ.2} \]
\[ V_e \leq \min \left[ 0.2 f_{cd} A_c, (3.3 + 0.08 f_{cd}) A_p, 10 A_e \right] \quad \text{Equ.3} \]

Another amendment in the new regulation was made to the section of the coupling shear walls. In the coupling shear walls, the reinforcement arrangement of the coupling beams connecting the shear pieces has been changed. It was stated in the old regulation that the reinforcement arrangement of coupling beams can be made with special shear reinforcement that has been proved by experiments. However, it was stated in the new regulation that the cross-reinforcement layout should be used instead.

The opening can be left on RC shear walls due to architectural reasons such as doors and windows. However, the location, size, etc. of these opening situations significantly affect the strength of the shear wall. In the studies in the literature [33-39] the opening can be left on RC shear walls due to architectural reasons such as doors and windows. However, the location, size, etc. of these opening situations significantly affect the strength of the shear wall. In the studies in the literature [30, 31] it was also stated that special reinforcements should be placed around these opening. In the new earthquake regulation, more details are given on the spaces to be left in the RC shear walls. It is stated that opening such as windows and installations can be left for the middle third of the shear. It is also stipulated that the horizontal dimension of the opening cannot be greater than 20% of the shear width, and the opening height cannot be greater than 20% of the floor height.
3.2. Evaluation of Reinforced Concrete Shear Walls According to ACI318-19

One of the first noticeable factors between the American and Turkish Regulations; it is stated in ACI318-19 that RC shear walls can be installed either on or off-plane (Figure 5). In the Turkish Regulation, no distinction has been made between in-plane or out-of-plane.

In ACI-318-19, when calculating the shear strength of RC shear walls, both inside and outside the plane must be calculated. It is also stated that strut-and-tie analogy can be used in the design of H/L<2 shear walls. In the Turkish Regulation, there is no material such as a truss beam analogy that can be used for the design of RC shear walls.

For the shear strength of RC shear walls in ACI-318-19, ACI-318-14 \( V_n < 10 \sqrt{f_c h_d} \) condition to \( V_n < 8 f_y A_v \). The coefficient has been reduced due to changing \( h_d \) to \( h_{lw} \). Because the effective cutting area is increased, the coefficient is reduced. Equation 4 not included in ACI-318-14 in ACI318-19 was added for the shear strength of RC shear walls.

\[
V_n = \left( a_c \lambda \sqrt{f_c} + \rho_t f_y \right) A_v \tag{4}
\]

\( \alpha_c, H/L \leq 1.5 \) for shear walls 3; correlations can be made between 2 and 3 for H/L≥2 shear walls and 2 to 3 for H/L≤2 shear walls. However, if the shear wall is exposed to axial pulling force, \( \alpha_c \) must be calculated with Equation 5.

\[
\alpha_c = 2 \left( 1 + \frac{N_u}{500 A_v} \right) \geq 0 \tag{5}
\]

It should be noted that \( N_u \) will be taken as negative here. The shear strength calculations of out-of-plane shear walls are given and defined in Limit values in ACI-318-14. However, only the conditions in Section 22.5 are proposed for the shear strength of out-of-plane shear walls in ACI-318-19.

The requirement for minimum reinforcement ratios to be used in RC shear walls was changed in ACI318-19. Instead of \( 0.5 \phi V_c \leq V_n \leq 0.5 \phi V_c \) condition in ACI318-14, \( 0.5 \phi \lambda \sqrt{f_c A_v} \leq V_n \leq 0.5 \phi \lambda \sqrt{f_c A_v} \) in ACI-318-19 condition is added. If \( V_n \leq 0.5 \phi \lambda \sqrt{f_c A_v} \), table values or minimum reinforcement ratios can be used for horizontal and vertical reinforcement. If \( 0.5 \phi \lambda \sqrt{f_c A_v} \leq V_n \), the ratio of horizontal and vertical reinforcement should be greater than 0.0025. In addition, the longitudinal reinforcement ratio must provide Equation 6.

\[
\rho_l \geq 0.0025 + 0.5(2.5 - h_{lw}/l_w)(\rho_l - 0.0025) \tag{6}
\]

In ACI 318-19, no changes were made for the reinforcement details of both cast-in-place and pre-cast shear walls.

3.3. Evaluation of Reinforced Concrete Shear Walls According to EuroCode

In EuroCode-2, RC shear walls are defined as structural elements bearing load, bending moment and shear force. In addition, it is stated that the strut-and-tie method can be used in the design of shear walls as in ACI-318-19. Figure 6 shows the classic shear wall and the forces it meets in EuroCode-2. However, in order for a structural element to be designed as a RC shear wall, the ratio of the shear length to the shear width must be at least 4.

According to the old regulation ACI-318-14 [35]In ACI-318-19, a substance was added in the axial load capacities of the shear walls. In the new regulation, \( P_a > 0.2 f_y A_c \) condition has been added for the axial load capacity of RC shear walls. No changes were made to the design limits of shear walls. Limit values of shear wall thickness have not been changed. In addition, ACI-318-19 stated that RC shear walls can be exposed to an eccentric axial load, and these forces must be taken into consideration while designing shear walls. Strength reduction coefficients for axial force and moment forces that shear walls can carry are specified in ACI318-19. It is stated that the calculated axial force and moment value should be used in the design phase after multiplying these resistance reduction coefficients. In Turkish regulation, the strength reduction coefficients appear in both material and load combinations.
Figure 6. The geometry and stresses of RC shear wall at EuroCode-2

The stress (σ) affecting the RC shear wall under N axial and M bending moment is calculated as in Equation 7.

\[ \sigma = \frac{N}{L_{wbw}} \pm \frac{6M}{b_wL_w^2} \]  
Equ.7

Maximum stresses in the pressure zone must ensure the following equation.

\[ \sigma_{\text{max}} < 0.57f_{ck} + \frac{[A_{w}/(L_{w}b_w)]}{[0.87f_{ck}]} \]  
Equ.8

Here \( A_{w}/(L_{w}b_w) \) shows the reinforcement ratio in the pressure zone. In addition, the reinforcement ratio in the drawing region must also provide Equation 9.

\[ \frac{A_{w}}{L_{wbw}} > 0.5 \left( \frac{L_{t}}{L_{w}} \right) \frac{[\sigma_{\text{max}}]}{[0.87f_{ck}]} \]  
Equ.9

It is stated in EuroCode-2 that the minimum reinforcement ratio on RC shear walls can be 0.004. It was emphasized that the maximum longitudinal reinforcement ratio could be 0.04. However, in cases where the longitudinal reinforcement ratio is 0.02 and above, considerable attention should be paid to wind it with sufficient stirrup reinforcement. It is stated that the ratio of horizontal reinforcement should not be more than half of the ratio of vertical reinforcement, and the diameter of horizontal reinforcement should not be less than 1/3 of the diameter of vertical reinforcement. The distance between the horizontal reinforcements should be 2 times the thickness of the shear and 300 mm less.

In EuroCode-2, it has been noted that in the design of coupling shear walls, plastic hinges should be formed on the shear base and coupling beams.

The formula defined for the maximum axial load force that shear walls can carry in EuroCode-2 is a bit more complicated than the formula defined by other standards. Parameters such as floor height, second-degree moments and moment of inertia are taken into account. The maximum axial force that the shear wall can carry is shown in Equation 10.

\[ F_{V,\text{Ed}} \leq 0.517 \frac{n_{i}}{n_{i+1.5}} \frac{\sum E_{cn} I_{c}}{L^{2}} \]  
Equ.10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>ACI318-19</th>
<th>EuroCode-2</th>
<th>Turkish Standard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum horizontal reinforcement ratio</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
<td>0.0040</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum longitudinal reinforcement ratio</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
<td>0.0040</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The formula for plastic hinge length</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spacing of horizontal reinforcement</td>
<td>cast-in-place</td>
<td>3h</td>
<td>300 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18 in</td>
<td>250 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precast</td>
<td>5h</td>
<td>18 in</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height-to-length ratio to define as RC shear wall</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative design method</td>
<td>Strut-and-tie method</td>
<td>Strut-and-tie method</td>
<td>Finite element method</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>ACI318-19</th>
<th>EuroCode-2</th>
<th>Turkish Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Compressive strength of concrete</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characteristic compressive strength of concrete</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yield strength of reinforcement</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of wall</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of wall</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness of wall</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical height of wall</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H/L&lt;2 or H/L&gt;2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross section area of concrete</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinforcement ratio</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strength reduction factor</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective length factor</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial load</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulus of elasticity</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moment of inertia</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Conclusion

In this study, the comparison of old new versions for RC shear walls in Turkish, European and American regulation was made. As a result of the evaluation, the following results were obtained.

Table 1 presents the comparison of the design and limit values of the three regulations for curtain walls.

In American regulation, it was emphasized that the shear walls can meet the load inside and outside the plane. However, such a distinction was not found in Turkish regulation. In addition, in American regulation, reinforcement detail conditions are presented for on-site casting and pre-casting situations of RC shear walls. In the Turkish regulation, RC shear walls are not handled differently, as pre-casting and on-site casting.

In all three regulations, especially the plastic hinges on the area that directly affects the behavior of RC shear walls is not emphasized. No formula for the plastic hinges on length is offered for engineers and researchers. However, in Turkish regulation, the critical shear height definition is made for shear walls. It is stated that for \( H / L > 2 \) shear walls, border elements should be formed in both end regions of the shear. The reinforcement detail and arrangement of these regions are subject to special conditions.

In all three regulations, there is not much information about the calculation and reinforcement arrangement of shear walls with different geometries such as U, L and T. The design and reinforcement boundaries of this type of shear walls have not been determined.

As can be seen from Table 2, regulations can consider different parameters in the calculation and strength of RC shear walls. Each regulation proposes its own formula for calculating both shear and flexural strength of RC shear walls. In addition, height, thickness and the length of shear wall, reinforcement and concrete characteristics parameters and aspect ratio are proposed to use to design and calculation steps in three regulations. Especially the 2 determined for different geometries such as U, L and T. In addition, in American regulation, reinforcement detail conditions are presented for on-site casting and pre-casting situations of RC shear walls. In the Turkish regulation, RC shear walls are not handled differently, as pre-casting and on-site casting.

In all three regulations, especially the plastic hinges on the area that directly affects the behavior of RC shear walls is not emphasized. No formula for the plastic hinges on length is offered for engineers and researchers. However, in Turkish regulation, the critical shear height definition is made for shear walls. It is stated that for \( H / L > 2 \) shear walls, border elements should be formed in both end regions of the shear. The reinforcement detail and arrangement of these regions are subject to special conditions.

In all three regulations, especially the plastic hinges on the area that directly affects the behavior of RC shear walls is not emphasized. No formula for the plastic hinges on length is offered for engineers and researchers. However, in Turkish regulation, the critical shear height definition is made for shear walls. It is stated that for \( H / L > 2 \) shear walls, border elements should be formed in both end regions of the shear. The reinforcement detail and arrangement of these regions are subject to special conditions.

In all three regulations, especially the plastic hinges on the area that directly affects the behavior of RC shear walls is not emphasized. No formula for the plastic hinges on length is offered for engineers and researchers. However, in Turkish regulation, the critical shear height definition is made for shear walls. It is stated that for \( H / L > 2 \) shear walls, border elements should be formed in both end regions of the shear. The reinforcement detail and arrangement of these regions are subject to special conditions.

In American regulation, it was emphasized that the shear walls can meet the load inside and outside the plane. However, such a distinction was not found in Turkish regulation. In addition, in American regulation, reinforcement detail conditions are presented for on-site casting and pre-casting situations of RC shear walls. In the Turkish regulation, RC shear walls are not handled differently, as pre-casting and on-site casting.

In all three regulations, especially the plastic hinges on the area that directly affects the behavior of RC shear walls is not emphasized. No formula for the plastic hinges on length is offered for engineers and researchers. However, in Turkish regulation, the critical shear height definition is made for shear walls. It is stated that for \( H / L > 2 \) shear walls, border elements should be formed in both end regions of the shear. The reinforcement detail and arrangement of these regions are subject to special conditions.

As can be seen from Table 2, regulations can consider different parameters in the calculation and strength of RC shear walls. Each regulation proposes its own formula for calculating both shear and flexural strength of RC shear walls. In addition, height, thickness and the length of shear wall, reinforcement and concrete characteristics parameters and aspect ratio are proposed to use to design and calculation steps in three regulations. Especially the 2 determined for different geometries such as U, L and T. In addition, in American regulation, reinforcement detail conditions are presented for on-site casting and pre-casting situations of RC shear walls. In the Turkish regulation, RC shear walls are not handled differently, as pre-casting and on-site casting.

In all three regulations, especially the plastic hinges on the area that directly affects the behavior of RC shear walls is not emphasized. No formula for the plastic hinges on length is offered for engineers and researchers. However, in Turkish regulation, the critical shear height definition is made for shear walls. It is stated that for \( H / L > 2 \) shear walls, border elements should be formed in both end regions of the shear. The reinforcement detail and arrangement of these regions are subject to special conditions.

As can be seen from Table 2, regulations can consider different parameters in the calculation and strength of RC shear walls. Each regulation proposes its own formula for calculating both shear and flexural strength of RC shear walls. In addition, height, thickness and the length of shear wall, reinforcement and concrete characteristics parameters and aspect ratio are proposed to use to design and calculation steps in three regulations. Especially the 2 determined for different geometries such as U, L and T. In addition, in American regulation, reinforcement detail conditions are presented for on-site casting and pre-casting situations of RC shear walls. In the Turkish regulation, RC shear walls are not handled differently, as pre-casting and on-site casting.

In all three regulations, especially the plastic hinges on the area that directly affects the behavior of RC shear walls is not emphasized. No formula for the plastic hinges on length is offered for engineers and researchers. However, in Turkish regulation, the critical shear height definition is made for shear walls. It is stated that for \( H / L > 2 \) shear walls, border elements should be formed in both end regions of the shear. The reinforcement detail and arrangement of these regions are subject to special conditions.

Although there are many studies in the literature about hollow shear walls, there is not much emphasis on opening shear walls in all three regulations.

In particular, the necessary details are not given for coupling beams, where plastic hinges are formed on the coupling shear walls and directly affect the shear behavior. In particular, no-limit value has been found on dampers used in tie beams in recent years.

In recent years, researchers have turned to different types of reinforcement to control cracks as well as increase strength instead of conventional steel reinforcement. Especially, fiber-reinforced polymer (FRP) bars such as carbon, glass and aramid tend to be used as reinforcement. There is no assessment for FRP reinforcements in regulations. Reinforcement details and limit values are made for classic steel reinforcements.

It is stated that the strut-and-tie method can be used for the design of shear walls in ACI318-19 and EuroCode-2. In fact, a separate section is defined for the strut-and-tie method in these regulations. However, in the Turkish Regulation, this method could not be encountered for shear walls. However, since a 3-dimensional solution is requested with the finite element method, which is a more detailed solution method in Turkish regulation, the strut-and-tie method is not required.

Table 2 presents the parameters taken into account in the calculation of the shear and flexural strength of the RC shear walls in terms of ACI, Turkish and European regulations. The parameters with a plus sign in the table represent that they are used in the regulation and negative signs represent that they are not used in the regulation.

The regulations have different parameters as well as common parameters. ACI regulation proposes a strength reduction coefficient in shear strength calculations. The critical shear height definition is taken into consideration by the Turkish regulation. Different reinforcement layouts are recommended at the critical wall height. The modulus of elasticity and moment of inertia parameters are taken into account by ACI and Eurocode.

Although there are differences between regulations in the design of curtain walls, the geometry of shear walls and reinforcement and concrete characteristics are invariable parameters.
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In particular, the necessary details are not given for coupling beams, where plastic hinges are formed on the coupling shear walls and directly affect the shear behavior. In particular, no-limit value has been found on dampers used in tie beams in recent years.

In recent years, researchers have turned to different types of reinforcement to control cracks as well as increase strength instead of conventional steel reinforcement. Especially, fiber-reinforced polymer (FRP) bars such as carbon, glass and aramid tend to be used as reinforcement. There is no assessment for FRP reinforcements in regulations. Reinforcement details and limit values are made for classic steel reinforcements.

It is stated that the strut-and-tie method can be used for the design of shear walls in ACI318-19 and EuroCode-2. In fact, a separate section is defined for the strut-and-tie method in these regulations. However, in the Turkish Regulation, this method could not be encountered for shear walls. However, since a 3-dimensional solution is requested with the finite element method, which is a more detailed solution method in Turkish regulation, the strut-and-tie method is not required.

Table 2 presents the parameters taken into account in the calculation of the shear and flexural strength of the RC shear walls in terms of ACI, Turkish and European regulations. The parameters with a plus sign in the table represent that they are used in the regulation and negative signs represent that they are not used in the regulation.

### Nomenclature

\[ A_c \] : gross cross-sectional area of boundary of RC shear wall

\[ A_c \] : gross area of the concrete section

\[ a_\alpha \] : area of longitudinal reinforcement

\[ d \] : distance from extreme compression fiber to center of force of all reinforcement in tension

\[ E_m \] : the mean modulus of elasticity

\[ f_{cu} \] : compressive cylindrical strength of concrete

\[ f_{ctd} \] : tension strength of concrete

\[ f_y \] : yield strength of reinforcement

\[ f_{y_t} \] : specified yield strength for non prestressed reinforcement

\[ F_{V,c} \] : is the total vertical load

\[ h \] : the thickness of shear wall

\[ h_v \] : height and length of shear wall, respectively

\[ I \] : is the second moment of area (uncracked concrete section) of the walls.

\[ L \] : is the total height of building above level of moment restraint

\[ N \] : number of stories

\[ P_{v,f} \] : axial force at American Code

\[ P_{v} \] : axial force for horizontal reinforcement of shear walls

\[ V_s \] : nominal shear strength

\[ \mu \] : shear friction coefficient

\[ a_e \] : coefficient defining the relative contribution of concrete strength to nominal wall shear strength

\[ A_e \] : modification factor to reflect the reduced mechanical properties of lightweight concrete relative to normal weight concrete of same compressive strength

\[ \rho \] and \[ \rho_t \] : ratio of the area of distributed longitudinal and transverse reinforcement, respectively.

\[ \phi \] : strength reduction factor
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